08180812:32

Project Name: 336 GREAT RD.

Project Number: Not Specified

**Lab Number:** L0811890 **Report Date:** 08/18/08

# Sample Receipt and Container Information

Were project specific reporting limits specified?

YES

**Cooler Information** 

Cooler

**Custody Seal** 

Α

**Absent** 

# **Container Information**

Container ID	Container Type	Cooler	рН	Temp	Pres	Seal	Analysis
L0811890-01A	Vial MeOH preserved	Α	N/A	17C	Υ	Absent	MCP-8260HLW(14)
L0811890-01B	Vial water preserved	Α	N/A	17C	Υ	Absent	MCP-8260HLW(14)
L0811890-01C	Vial water preserved	A	N/A	17C	Υ	Absent	MCP-8260HLW(14)
L0811890-01D	Amber 100ml unpreserved	Α	N/A	17C	Υ	Absent	EPH-DELUX-04(14),MCP-8082- 04(14)
L0811890-01E	Amber 100ml unpreserved	A	N/A	17C	Y	Absent	MCP-BA-6010T(180),MCP-AS-6010T(180),MCP-PB-6010T(180),MCP-AG-6010T(180),MCP-SE-6010T(180),MCP-7471T(28),MCP-CD-6010T(180),MCP-CR-6010T(180)
L0811890-01F	Amber 100ml unpreserved	Α	N/A	17C	Υ	Absent	TS(7)
L0811890-01G	Amber 100ml unpreserved	Α	N/A	17C	Υ	Absent	TS(7)

## **Container Comments**

L0811890-01A	IR Gun
L0811890-01B	IR Gun
L0811890-01C	IR Gun
L0811890-01D	IR Gun
L0811890-01E	IR Gun
L0811890-01F	IR Gun
L0811890-01G	IR Gun

Project Name: Project Number: 336 GREAT RD.

Not Specified

Lab Number:

L0811890

Report Date:

08/18/08

#### **GLOSSARY**

### **Acronyms**

EPA - Environmental Protection Agency.

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample: A sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes or a material containing known and verified amounts of analytes.

LCSD- Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate: Refer to LCS.

 MS - Matrix Spike Sample: A sample prepared by adding a known mass of target analyte to a specified amount of matrix sample for which an independent estimate of target analyte concentration is available.

MSD - Matrix Spike Sample Duplicate: Refer to MS.

NA - Not Applicable.

NI - Not Ignitable.

NC - Not Calculated: Term is utilized when one or more of the results utilized in the calculation are non-detect at the parameter's reporting unit.

ND - Not detected at the reported detection limit for the sample.

RDL - Reported Detection Limit: The value at which an instrument can accurately measure an analyte at a specific concentration. The RDL includes any adjustments from dilutions, concentrations or moisture content, where applicable.

RPD - Relative Percent Difference: The results from matrix and/or matrix spike duplicates are primarily designed to assess the precision of analytical results in a given matrix and are expressed as relative percent difference (RPD). Values which are less than five times the reporting limit for any individual parameter are evaluated by utilizing the absolute difference between the values; although the RPD value will be provided in the report.

## Terms

Analytical Method: Both the document from which the method originates and the analytical reference method. (Example: EPA 8260B is shown as 1,8260B.) The codes for the reference method documents are provided in the References section of the Addendum.

### Data Qualifiers

The following data qualifiers have been identified for use under the CT DEP Reasonable Confidence Protocols.

- A Spectra identified as "Aldol Condensation Product".
- B The analyte was detected above the reporting limit in the associated method blank. Flag only applies to associated field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte.
- E Concentration of analyte exceeds the range of the calibration curve and/or linear range of the instrument.
- J Estimated value. The analyte was tentatively identified; the quantitation is an estimation. (Tentatively identified compounds only.)

#### Standard Qualifiers

H - The analysis of pH was performed beyond the regulatory-required holding time of 15 minutes from the time of sample collection.

Report Format: Data Usability Report

ALPHA

**Project Name:** 

336 GREAT RD.

Lab Number:

L0811890

**Project Number:** 

**Not Specified** 

Report Date: 08/18/08

### REFERENCES

30 Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. APHA-AWWA-WPCF, 18th Edition, 1992.

- 60 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for SW-846 Methods. MADEP BWSC. WSC-CAM-IIA (Revision 4), WSC-CAM-V C (Revision 2), WSC-CAM-IIIA (Revision 5). May 2004.
- 61 Method for the Determination of Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH). Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, DEA/ORS/BWSC. May 2004, Revision 1.1.
- Quality Assurance and Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for 64 SW-846 Methods. MADEP BWSC. WSC-CAM-IIA (Revision 4), WSC-CAM-V C (Revision 2), WSC-CAM-IIIA (Revision 5). August 2004.

## **LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES**

Alpha Woods Hole Labs performs services with reasonable care and diligence normal to the analytical testing laboratory industry. In the event of an error, the sole and exclusive responsibility of Alpha Woods Hole Labs shall be to re-perform the work at it's own expense. In no event shall Alpha Woods Hole Labs be held liable for any incidental, consequential or special damages, including but not limited to, damages in any way connected with the use of, interpretation of, information or analysis provided by Alpha Woods Hole Labs.

We strongly urge our clients to comply with EPA protocol regarding sample volume, preservation, cooling, containers, sampling procedures, holding time and splitting of samples in the field.



CHAIN O	CHAIN OF CUSTODY PAGE OF	Omericanstitutes \$175.00	8 AMPHANIELLS HRIO
	Project laformation	Report Information - Data Deliverables	erables Billing Information
WESTBORO, MA MANSFIELD, MA		Nepotentolinadoli - para per	Homeuroum Sumia
	Project Name: 336 Great R.L.		X Same as Client Info PO #:
ation	Project Location:	AADEx	səl
Clear		Regulatory Requirements/Report Limits	t Limits
CHETT. KAMSERV, INC.	Project #:	State /Fed Program	Criteria
Address: 35 Wirthog Sta	Project Manager: The Binners		24
Willet march 1890	ALPHA Quote #:	MA MCP PRESUMPTIVE CERTAINTY	INTY CT REASONABLE CONFIDENCE PROTO-
ا صد	Turn-Around Time		Are MCP Analytical Methods Required?
Fax: 76 12 455		O Yes P No	Are CT RCP (Reasonable Confidence Protocols) Required?
Email: USUL@ Connoct, not	Date Due: 72 Por Sinne:		SAMPLE HANDLING
Other Project Specific Requirements/Comments/Detection Limits:	ments/Detection		
			C Done
		9090 900 900 900 900 900	
ALPHA ab ID  Sample ID	Collection Sample (	Sampler's Sampler's Initials	(Please specify below)
18 10 612 Caro S1.52.53	1/3/5X [C	XXXX	
PLEASE ANSWER QUESTIONS ABOVE!	Contai	> d	
IS YOUR PROJECT	-	H 0/1-	
MA MCP or CT RCP?	Kelipadisped By Daje/Time	1000 Colmanda	Date/Time British Brit
FORM NO: 01-01 (rev. 14-OCT-07)		3	1.2
	D3		9e4